October 28, 1991 jem

Introduced by: Paul Barden

Proposed No.: <u>91-847</u>

MOTION NO. 8446

A MOTION affirming the council's sales tax reserve sub-fund policy adopted by Motion 8352 on July 29, 1991, and providing direction to council staff in its review of the 1992 Executive Proposed Budget.

WHEREAS, the council established a sales tax reserve subfund in 1987 when King County had exhausted its full state taxing authority, and

WHEREAS, the council adopted Motion 8352 on July 29, 1991 expressing its intent that 25 percent of the additional 0.2 percent sales tax rate will continue to be earmarked to the sales tax reserve sub-fund, and

WHEREAS, the 1992-94 executive proposed financial plan is based upon expending the 25 percent of the additional 0.2 percent sales tax rate, and

WHEREAS, the sales tax reserve sub-fund was to be built to a level of \$15,000,000 in order to provide for catastrophic losses and a cushion for severe revenue declines, and

WHEREAS, the balance of the sales tax reserve sub-fund at December 31, 1991, is estimated to be \$9,091,000, and

WHEREAS, the 1992 executive proposed Current Expense Fund expenditure level is dependent upon the realization of the 1992 executive proposed Current Expense Fund revenue estimate, and

WHEREAS, there are a considerable number of uncertainties regarding the 1992 economy and its effect upon Current Expense Fund revenues, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

- The council reaffirms its intent that 25 percent of the additional 0.2 percent sales tax rate will continue to be earmarked to the sales tax reserve sub-fund.
- Council staff is directed to work with the Executive Branch and Judicial Branch to identify other possible sources

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

3.0

31

32

33 34

| 1 | of revenue or reductions in the 1992 Executive Proposed Budget |
|----------|---|
| 2 | equal to amount of the 1992 sales tax reserve fund which should |
| 3 | have been earmarked to be set aside in the sales tax reserve |
| 4 | sub-fund. |
| 5 | C. Council staff is directed to work with the Executive |
| 6 | Branch to determine if the executive proposed Current Expense |
| 7 | Fund revenue estimate is likely to be realized. |
| 8 | D. If it is determined that the executive proposed |
| 9 | Current Expense Fund revenue estimate is not likely to be |
| 10 | realized, Council staff is directed to work with the Executive |
| 11 | Branch and Judicial Branch to identify other possible sources |
| 12 | of revenue or reductions in the 1992 Executive Proposed Budget |
| 13 | equal to amount of the revenue shortfall. |
| 14 | E. Council staff is directed to work with the Executive |
| 15 | Branch to begin identifying revenues and objects of |
| 16 | expenditures as regional or local as discussed in the regional |
| 17 | governance summit process, |
| 18 | PASSED this 28 th day of October, 1991. |
| 19 20 | KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON |
| 20 | RING COOKIT, WASHINGTON |
| 21 | Lois North |
| 22 | Chair |
| 23 | ATTEST: |
| | |
| 24 | Buddle Tetin |